

BACKREACTIONS IN SUPERINFLATIONARY COSMOLOGIES

R. POPPE

*Physik Department, Technische Universität München,
85747 Garching, Germany*

Z. LALAK

*Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Warsaw,
Hoża 69, 00-681 Warsaw, Poland*

We examine Jordan–Brans–Dicke theories with a linear potential for the scalar field by means of a stochastic approach. The backreaction of the scalar field fluctuations on the classical background is described. The analysis is concentrated on those values of the Jordan–Brans–Dicke parameter ω which predict a superinflationary pre–big–bang branch. The graceful exit problem present in these theories is discussed.

In scalar tensor theories of gravity, such as Jordan–Brans–Dicke (JBD) theories¹, the gravitational coupling constant is replaced by a dynamical scalar field $\Phi = m_{Pl}^2 = G^{-1}$. They are parameterised by a dimensionless kinetic coupling parameter ω and run into Einstein gravity in the limit $\omega \rightarrow \infty$.

Theories of gravity arising from Kaluza–Klein theories or supergravity may appear after compactification to four dimensions as a JBD theory with $\omega \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)$ ². The universal part of the low energy effective string action in four dimensions can be viewed as a JBD theory as well, with the specific choice $\omega = -1$. For negative ω , the theories predict a *superinflationary* phase defined for all times $t < 0$ (the curvature singularity at $t = 0$ is identified with the big bang), hence the name pre–big–bang branch. It is driven entirely by the non standard kinetic term of the scalar field in the JBD frame³.

Despite some attractive features of the superinflationary cosmology a natural exit out of this phase into an ordinary radiation or matter dominated universe is not easily accomplished⁴. Proofs were given that on the classical level such a transition cannot occur⁵, even in the case, when a potential for the field is present. On the other hand, there are indications that a graceful exit can be achieved by higher curvature terms and string loop corrections⁶. These terms get important in the strongly coupled regime close to the singularity and quantum effects are no longer negligible there. In the spirit of a QFT on a curved semiclassical background, we shall examine the stochastic properties of the fluctuating degrees of freedom and their backreaction on the homogeneous background. We can merely report the results here and present the complete

analysis elsewhere⁷.

The JBD action including a scalar potential and matter contributions reads

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\Phi \mathcal{R} - \omega \frac{\partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi}{\Phi} - V(\Phi) + 16\pi \mathcal{L}_m \right], \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is the Ricci scalar. From now on we ignore all contributions from ordinary matter and assume a flat FRW background metric characterised by a single scale factor $a(t)$. The equations of motion admit the following solutions for the homogeneous background quantities if no potential is present,

$$\Phi_0(t) \sim |t|^{r_\pm(\omega)} \quad \text{and} \quad a(t) \sim |t|^{q_\pm(\omega)}. \quad (2)$$

The subscripts in the exponents $r_\pm$ and $q_\pm$ denote two independent solutions present at each instant of time $t \neq 0$. For $\omega < 0$ one of them describes an expanding and the other one a collapsing universe. For $t < 0$ the expanding solution is the superinflationary branch. All stochastic quantities depend on the mode functions of Φ which, for the background given in (2), read

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \propto |t|^{-\alpha(\omega)} H_{\nu(\omega)}^{(2)} \left(\beta(\omega, |\mathbf{k}|) |t|^{\gamma(\omega)} \right). \quad (3)$$

The wave vector is denoted by $\mathbf{k}$ and α , β , γ and ν are functions of $r_\pm(\omega)$ or $q_\pm(\omega)$. The choice of the second Hankel function guarantees the correct Minkowski limit. Background solutions can also be given for a linear potential $V(\Phi) = -2\lambda\Phi$, whereas the corresponding mode functions are known only as a power series⁷.

We split the field and its first time derivative in super- and subhorizon-sized parts,

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \Phi_{<}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \Phi_{>}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}, t) = v_{<}(\mathbf{x}, t) + v_{>}(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (4)$$

containing all mode functions with physical wave vectors smaller (" $<$ ") and larger (" $>$ ") than aH , respectively. It is not difficult to derive a set of stochastic differential equations (Langevin equations) describing the time evolution of the long wavelength parts (interpreted as the semiclassical background henceforth) under the influence of two independent stochastic noise terms. Solutions to these equations automatically incorporate the backreactions. The fluctuation strength of the noise terms have to be evaluated with the help of the two point correlation functions of the relevant field operators. They are given in terms of the mode functions and the background variables.

A numerical integration of the stochastic equations of motion for the superinflationary pre-big-bang branch reveals the significant effect of the backreactions: The dispersion of the induced fluctuations in the Hubble parameter

$H = \dot{a}/a$ grows much quicker for $t \rightarrow 0_-$ than the difference Δ_H separating the two distinct values of H existing for all times $t \neq 0$. The stochastic ensembles belonging to the expanding and the collapsing solution of the classical theory overlap and quantum effects dominate the dynamics. Therefore, there is no reason to continue the evolution *after* the big bang with a preferred choice of one of the (semi)classical solutions, unless one is able to make statements about the probabilities (e. g. by solving the corresponding Fokker–Planck equation) that one or the other solution is preferentially occupied by the stochastic ensemble. Thus, the system can emerge from the quantum regime as an ordinary universe with a decelerated expansion rate, corresponding to one of the two post–big–bang branches.

The qualitative behaviour remains unchanged if a linear potential is taken into account, as long as $\lambda \lesssim \mathcal{O}(1)$. An analytical treatment⁷ is consistent with the numerical integration and shows in addition the strong dependence of this effect on the JBD parameter ω . For $\omega \rightarrow 0_-$ the backreactions get drastically suppressed, whereas the opposite is true the more negative ω gets. This fact originates from the strong dependence of the Hubble parameter on ω .

Acknowledgments

We thank the organisers, especially L. Roszkowski, for their hospitality during the workshop. This work was supported by Sonderforschungsbereich 375-95 "Research in Astroparticlephysics" of DFG, the European Commission TMR programmes ERBFMRX-CT96-0045 and ERBFMRX-CT96-0090, and by the Polish Committee for Scientific Research grant 2 P03B 040 12.

References

1. P. Jordan, *Z. Phys.* **157** (1959) 112; C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, *Phys. Rev.* **124** (1961) 925.
2. P. G. O. Freund, *Nucl. Phys. B* **209** (1982) 146.
3. D. La and P. J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** (1989) 376; J. J. Levin, *Phys. Rev. D* **51** (1995) 1536; J. J. Levin, *Phys. Rev. D* **51** (1995) 462; J. E. Lidsey, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997) 3303.
4. R. Brustein and G. Veneziano, *Phys. Lett. B* **329** (1994) 429.
5. N. Kaloper, R. Madden and K. A. Olive, *Nucl. Phys. B* **452** (1995) 677; *Phys. Lett. B* **371** (1996) 34.
6. R. Brustein and R. Madden, *hep-th/9708046*, to be published in *Phys. Rev. D*; see also R. Brustein's contribution to this volume.
7. Z. Lalak and R. Poppe, *Nucl. Phys. B* **507** (1997) 419.